

Appl. No. 10/619,154
Amdt. Dated November 8, 2004
Reply to Office Action of October 8, 2004

of Claims 1 – 27. The Examiner points out the difference between Claims 18 and 28, however Claim 18 is simply directed to a broader apparatus than the product assembled in Claim 28. It is not a different apparatus, thus Claim 28 is not materially different than Claim 18. Applicant provisionally elects Claims 1 – 27.


As to the Examiner's restriction requirement of claims directed to the Examiner's Groups III – VI, Applicant elects the Examiner's Group VI with the following claims directed to it: generic Claims 1 – 3, Claim 4, generic Claims 5 – 10, Claim 11, generic claims 12 – 14, Claim 15, generic Claims 18 – 21, Claim 22, generic Claims 23 – 26, and Claim 27.

Applicants submit that Claims 1 – 28 are in condition for allowance for the reasons stated above and respectfully request a notice of allowance in the application.

In the event that Applicant has overlooked the need for an extension of time, an additional extension of time, payment of fee, or additional payment of fee, Applicant hereby conditionally petitions therefore and authorizes that any changes be made to Deposit Account No.: 50-3010.

Should you have any questions regarding the enclosed, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,



William M. Hall
Reg. No.: 52,985

Dated: November 8, 2004

Hiscock & Barclay, LLP
2000 HSBC Plaza
Rochester, New York 14604-2404
Tel: (585) 295-4481
Fax: (585) 295-8449